Bay Area Rehabilitation Center 2013

Program Evaluation

(January 2013 - December 2013)

Submit for:

Board of Directors Review March 26, 2014





The Mission of Bay Area Rehabilitation Center is to provide outpatient therapeutic, vocational, social skill training and recreational services for persons with disabilities or injuries and support services for their families. The Center also seeks to advocate for and work to provide accessible and affordable housing for this population.

Strategic Focus

Focus areas for 2014

- I. Continue to enhance the community's knowledge regarding the variety of services offered and outcomes achieved at Bay Area Rehabilitation Center.
- II. Quarterly reviews in all programs to monitor outcomes data regarding clients served and clinical services provided and make adjustments to client care or documentation as indicated.
- III. Expand existing and develop new services available to the community beyond the traditional outpatient rehabilitation programs
- IV. Continue to monitor regulatory changes to operations to minimize the impact of State changes related to future operations in all program areas
- V. Begin to implement fully electronic medical records operations

In 2012, the Center received a new 3-year accreditation from CARF International and placed a renewed focus on the core business of providing rehabilitative therapy to those clients in need of Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, and Speech services. This was accomplished, and continues to proceed, using a highly targeted marketing effort to educate area physicians about the Center's available programs, restructure of the organizational management to allow the development of team leaders in all of the programs, and improvements to the intake and billing processes. The next survey will occur in Spring, 2015.

Programs offered

We provide rehabilitative services for clients from birth through all life stages in the following programs:

- Adult Program provides occupational, physical, speech therapy and social services to clients over the age of 21 years of age with rehabilitative needs. The program provides diverse treatment plans with the use of the aquatic setting and a large, well-equipped therapy gym.
- Work Rehabilitation Program provides pre—work screening for local companies, Functional Capacity Evaluations (FCE) and work hardening/ work conditioning program for injured clients.
- **Pediatric Program** provides occupational, physical, speech therapy and social services to clients under the age of 21 years of age with rehabilitative needs. Provides comprehensive evaluations and team approach to services provided.
- Early Childhood Intervention Program provides occupational, physical, speech therapy, nutrition, behavior intervention, social and developmental services offered in the child's natural environment for children ages 0 3 years of age. The focus of the program is family education and service coordination.
- Opportunity Center Program In May of 2007, with support of the Center Board of Directors and organizational membership, the Baytown Opportunity Center merged into the Bay Area Rehabilitation Center and is now known as the Opportunity Center Program. The Opportunity Center provides Vocational Rehabilitation programs to clients who have physical and mental disabilities.

In addition we offer:

- Assistive technology evaluations for active clients and community members to include: augmentative communication devices, orthotic devices and prosthetics.
- Aquatic Exercise classes for community members to participate in recreational aquatic exercise; classes offered three times per day.
- Accessible housing for the disabled at Rollingbrook Apartments in Baytown, at Paul Chase Commons in Houston (Clear Lake), the Woodlands, and in Pasadena, through an association with Accessible Space, Inc.

Bay Area Rehabilitation Center is CARF accredited in three of our programs.

- Interdisciplinary Outpatient Medical Rehabilitation Programs (Adult program)
- Interdisciplinary Outpatient Medical Rehabilitation Programs (Pediatric Specialty Program)
- Child and Youth Services Accreditation through our Early Childhood Intervention Program offered in the child's natural environment

Outcome Measurement Systems

The Center utilizes several outcome measurement systems to include:

- LIFEware system in the Adult Program
- WeeFIM system in the Pediatric Programs
- Battelle Developmental Inventory

Data is collected on each client at the time of initial evaluation, subsequent intervals and discharge during therapy sessions and at post discharge. The data collected is compared to national data of similar type of diagnosis. The analysis of the data allows the Center and each of its programs to identify areas of strength and areas that need improvements. We conduct a comprehensive review of each program and the services provided on a quarterly basis and implement changes as indicated.

Statistical Methodology: All statistical data is based on positive responses from clients. All surveys are designed to elicit a response for each question. A non-response on a survey is removed from the population used to develop the numerical outcome. Part of the ongoing survey effort is to obtain as much data as possible from each client in order to present a more accurate survey summary.

Continuous Quality Improvement System

The Center utilizes a continuous quality improvement system (CQI) to review established clinical indicators for each program to assure that we continue to provide quality care to the clients and their families. Data is collected on a monthly basis on specific indicators identified for each program. The Program Director reviews the data quarterly for each program and develops a program report summarizing the results with stated recommendations.

The reports with accompanying data is reviewed quarterly with the program staff, Center's management, Utilization Review Committee and the Board of Directors to address the report findings, recommendations made and develop a plan to implement the changes.

The information derived from each programs CQI report is used to address documentation issues, procedural safeguards, staffing issues and provide better outcomes for the clients served.

2013 Improvements at the Center

All of the programs at the Center have made improvements in:

- client/ family involvement with treatment planning
- providing more functional based services
- documentation of services provided

Significant improvements have been made to the facility, including nearly complete reconditioning of the aquatics facility.

<u>Demographics of the clients served for rehabilitative services</u>

Age Groups – There was a slight change in the combined age distribution of persons served in all age groups compared to 2012:

Age Groups		20	005 20	06 200	7 20	08 20	09 20	10 20	11 20	12 201	3
0-3 years	Percentage of total pop	ulation 64	1% 64	% 679	% 69)% 74	l% 75	5% 64	% 61	% 63%	6
,	Inc	crease		3%			% 1			2%	
	Der	crease 7	′%					11	% 3%	6	
3-21 years	Percentage of total pop	ulation 9	% 99	% 5%	6 9°	% 5'	% 6	% 99	% 10	% 7%	,
		crease			4			% 39	% 19		
	Dec	crease 7	′%	4%	6	4'	%			3%	
21 +	Percentage of total pop		7% 27				3% 20				<u>′</u>
	Inc	crease 1	6%	1%			%	79	% 29		
	Dec	crease			6	%	3'	%		5%	<u> </u>
Gender			2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012 2	2013
		Male	55%	62%	61%	62%	59%	60%	63%	63% 6	34%
	ŀ	Female	45%	38% 3	39%	38%	41%	40%	37%	37% 3	66%
Geographic Lo	cation		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
and surrounding c		ouston	32%	26%	31%	34%	30%	37%	35%	34.5%	36%
. .		aytown	25%		29%	31%	31%	31%		34.5%	35%
		sadena	20%		23%	20%	23%	18%	17%	16.4%	15%
		Crosby	13%		7%	7%	7%	4%		4.6%	5%
		nelview	7%		5%	4%	5%	5%		5.2%	5%
	L	a Porte	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	4%		4.6%	4%
Ethnicity Mix			2005	2006	2007	7 2008	3 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
	Ca	aucasian		40%	39%				39%	39%	40%
		Hispanic		47%	43%				47%	46%	45%
	African A	•		12%	12%				12%	13%	13%
	7	Asian		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Payer Sources			2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
. ayor courses	M	edicaid	43%	34%	34%	37%	39%	42%	42%	40.3%	
		urance	36%	26%	22%	24%	22%	23%	26%	28%	22%
Early Childhood			11%	20%	25%	22%	23%	21%	12%	9.3%	19%
		edicare	9%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3.5%
Wo	rkman's Compe		2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1.3%	.24%
		Other	_,,	12%	12%	12%	10%	9%	15%	17%	20%
Unduplicated co	ount of clients s	erved a	nnually	(exclud	lina ar	nuatice	eyerci	se)			
oriaupiloatea et	, and on oncolle 3	2005	2006	2007	_	•	2009	2010	2011	2012	201
		2364**					3637	3519	2606	2567	
			-					5013	2000	2001	
	Increase	4.8	9%	19%		9%	9%				13

^{**} not including Opportunity Center Clients
*** Decrease over the past two years is largely attributable to mandated reductions in coverage in the State ECI program, other programs have shown increases or only a slight decrease over the same time frame

Client satisfaction

Center clients overwhelmingly reported that they were satisfied with services provided. Client satisfaction surveys are administered to every client at time of admission, established interims for long-term clients, and discharge. The data collected from the satisfaction surveys is analyzed to make program improvements.

Examples of the many positive comments received:

- Very caring, professional & compassionate. Would recommend to anyone. Thank you BARC!
- I was very impressed and will come back.
- Was more than I expected.
- Learned new and improved exercising and how to use body mechanics to limit stress on care giver.
- It was great. The service the staff it was great. Great people, therapists, treatment.
- Beautiful, clean pool. Lots of equipment.
- Appreciate the patience & professionalism from the techs that worked with me. Well satisfied.
- Have enjoyed my visits and will continue with exercises at home. Also will enroll in aquatics at least 1-2 times/week.
- I was very satisfied with the program and I thank everyone for being patient, kind and very helpful in my recovery.
- Love that the exercise could be made harder when they needed to be.

Suggestions received:

- Advertise better & with Dr's in area & Houston
- Suggestion phone answering system that you can actually speak to in person
- More marketing of your wonderful services

Adult Program

Demographics

Age Groups	e Groups Average Age				2008 46	2009 49	2010 52	2011 52	2012 55	2013 45
	5 - 39 years 40 – 59 years 60 – 79 years 80 - 90 years	2005 25% 42% 29% 4%	2006 37% 42% 18% 3%	2007 40% 41% 16% 3%	2008 35% 44% 19% 2%	2009 27% 46% 23% 4%	2010 21% 48% 25% 5%	2011 33% 40% 24% 2%	2012 37.5% 37% 22% 3.5%	2013 44% 33% 21% 2%
Gender	Male Female	2005 38% 63%	2006 61% 39%	2007 65% 35%	2008 62% 38%	2009 55% 45%	2010 52% 48%	2011 61% 39%	2012 62% 38%	2013 67% 33%
Ethnicity Mix Afric	Caucasians Hispanics an Americans		2006 74% 15% 12%	2007 69% 15% 14%	2008 71% 14% 14%	2009 75% 12% 11%	2010 77% 12% 10%	2011 72% 13% 10%	2012 68% 15% 14%	2013 64% 17% 15%
Payer Sources	2005 61% 28%	2006 31% 17%	2007 31% 14%	2008 42% 17%	2009 43% 20%	2010 46% 25%	2011 38% 19%	2012 33% 21%	2013 26% 14%	
Workman's	Compensation Other	6% 5%	9% 43%	11% 44%	9% 32%	10% 27%	6% 23%	6% 37%	6% 40%	1% 59%
Unduplicated count	of clients serv	red ann 2005 554	-	2007 1082		200 9 683	201 0 536	201 576		
Average number o	f visits per clie	ent (Anal) 2005 11.7	ysis of da 2006 11.2	ta-discha 2007 11.1	rged thei 2008 10.2	rapy clier 2009 9.1	nts only, F 2010 8.8	PWS not 2011 11.4	included) 2012 10.9	2013 10.4
Service received (PWS clients not include	d) Γ services only	2005 73%	2006 68%	2007 62%	2008 58%	2009 57%	2010 64%	2011 65%	2012 61%	2013 69%
O ⁻ Combinati	19% 8%	28% 4%	35% 1%	35% 7%	35% 8%	28% 8%	25% 10%	27% 12%	22% 9%	
Impairment Type Neurological Disorder Stroke Orthopedic Condition Musculoskeletal Disorder Other						2009 5% 4% 66% 14% 11%	2010 19% 3% 53% 15% 10%	2011 9% 3% 50% 19% 19%	2012 4% 5% 40% 29% 22%	2013 4% 4% 47% 18% 27%

Adult Program – continued

Primary reasons for discharge	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Goals achieved	38%	33%	33%	28%	18%	12%	22%	17.5%	19%
Non-attendance	11%	8%	15%	17%	17%	7%	14%	18.7%	17%
Maximum benefit received	14%	11%	14%	18%	18%	26%	23%	34.6%	25%
Client or parent request	12%	11%	10%	13%	17%	13%	20%	20.6%	20%
Physician request	6%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4.6%	4%
Insurance Authorization					8%	5%	6%	3.7%	4%

Sample of diagnoses treated

	- Cu	7.0 0	ulug	11000	s treat			
Diagnosis		Male	Female	Average Age	Average visits	Improvement in functional status	Cause for lack	of improvement
Condition	2006	17	38	55	12	73%	5% nonattendance	16% client/MD request
of the	2007	27	36	54	14	75%	8% nonattendance	11% client/MD request
back	2008	27	28	52	7.6	70%	36% max. benefit	45% client/MD request
	2009	17	30	58	12	70%	15% max. benefit	9% client/MD request
	2010	26	41	54	9	79%	19% max. benefit	19% client request
	2011	14	19	55	8	54%	35% max. benefit	26% nonattendance
	2012	11	10	56	9	61%	39% max. benefit	28% client/MD request
	2013	21	21	60	7.7	57%	55% max. benefit	27% client request
Condition	2006	5	9	41	9	64%	28% nonattendance	7% client/MD request
of the	2007	2	13	62	9	73%	0% nonattendance	20% client/MD request
cervical	2008	3	14	53	9	64%	60% max. benefit	20% client/MD request
region	2009	5	5	59	12	70%	20% max. benefit	10% client/MD request
	2010	5	20	54	7.9	72%	5% max. benefit	21% client/MD request
	2011	3	4	51	7.5	80%	17% no contact	33% client request
	2012	0	3	50	5	20%	43% no contact	14% Ins authorization
	2013	5	13	57	9.7	61%	17% max. benefit	11% client request
Difficult	2006	9	9	58	19	94%	5% max. benefit	
in waking	2007	9	7	58	17	74%	13% Change in medical	6% MD request
J I	2008	12	18	54	12.9	91%	50% nonattendance	50% client request
	2009	5	11	67	13	56%	2% max. benefit	29% client request
	2010	11	19	62	16.8	77%	28% max. benefit	4% Illness
	2011	23	31	60	12.5	73%	30% max. benefit	24% client request
	2012	19	39	58	12.7	82%	55% max. benefit	29% no contact
	2013	5	17	59	10.4	68%	100% max. benefit	
Joint	2006	42	48	53	13	79%	9% max. benefit	3% client/MD request
pain	2007	44	55	47	12	89%	1% max. benefit	1% client/MD request
•	2008	21	20	51	13.8	97%	100% max. benefit	
	2009	21	24	49	12	89%	4% non attendance	4% client request
	2010	16	33	52	11	82%	8% max. benefit	3% client request
	2011	17	25	53	13.5	85%	27% max. benefit	20% client request
	2012	28	23	57	12.8	81%	41% max. benefit	21% client request
	2013	29	34	53	10.4	70%	42% max. benefit	34% client/MD request
Joint	2006	30	27	47	15	94%	1% non attendance	1% client/MD request
stiffness	2007	28	17	49	14	93%	2% Change in medical	
	2008	9	6	51	13.1	100%		
	2009	7	1	51	10	88%	13% Moved from area	
	2010	3	7	60	9.2	70%		14% client request
	2011	9	17	56	11.5	58%	20% nonattendance	35% client request
	2012	20	24	55	11.4	71%	55% max. benefit	29% client request
	2013	20	21	58	10	68%	50% max. benefit	30% client request

Adult Program – continued

Client report at time of discharge	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Improvement in functional status				84%	79%	72%	74%	77%	77%
Improvement in limitation of activities/ lifestyle	80%	80%	86%	86%	76%	74%	73%	77%	75%
Decrease in symptoms	87%	87%	92%	94%	91%	88%	87%	88%	85%

Average age for clients served in the adult program decreased from 55 years of age in 2012 to 45 years of age in 2013. 56% of the clients served were over the age of 40 and 44% were below the age of 40.

Average number of unduplicated count of clients served annually increased from 624 in 2012 to 738 in 2013. This could be attributed to a significant increase in Pre-Work screens from 237 in 2012 to 375 in 2013. Employers in the area have expanded their facilities and, as a result, have increased their employment numbers. The number of Pre-Work screens has increased steadily the last few years.

Average number of visits per client decreased very slightly from 10.9 in 2012 to 10.4 in 2013. Overall, this has remained fairly steady over a number of years varying by only as much as 1-2 visits.

Client reports at time of discharge continue to remain consistent over the last several years. Client's length of admission and total number of visits is within the national norms for all 4 quarters of 2013 according to the LIFEware report.

In 2013, the center continued to focus on increasing marketing efforts. We plan to continue to move forward with our marketing efforts into 2014.

The adult team continued to evaluate all adult clients using the CORF requirements, to include review with the Medical Director and the Social Worker. This coordinated effort continues to result in a more comprehensive level of therapy for all adult clients seen at the Center. Those clients requiring a Social Service need were referred to the appropriate agency after coordination with the client.

Pediatric Program

Demographics

Age Groups Average Age in years	2005 6.5	2006 7	2007 7	2008 6.7	2009 7	2010 9	2011 :	2012 8.4	2013 8.3
, worago rigo iii youro	0.0	•	•	0	•	Ü	Ū	0	0.0
0 - 3 years 3 + - 5 years 5+ - 7 years 7+	2005 28% 26% 13% 33%	2006 1% 30% 24% 45%	2007 3% 34% 20% 42%	2008 4% 30% 29% 37%	2009 2% 31% 20% 47%	2010 0% 23% 21% 56%	2011 2% 26% 16% 56%	2012 1% 28% 16% 55%	2013 0% 28% 19% 53%
Gender Male Female	2005 59% 41%	2006 62% 38%	2007 68% 32%	2008 73% 27%	2009 62% 38%	2010 61% 39%	2011 62% 38%	2012 63% 37%	2013 64% 36%
Ethnicity Mix Caucasians Hispanics African Americans	2005 56% 34% 10%	2006 48% 35% 15%	2007 45% 41% 13%	2008 45% 40% 14%	2009 36% 48% 13%	2010 40% 45% 12%	2011 38% 45% 13%	2012 36% 40% 18%	2013 39% 35% 22%
Payer Sources Insurance Medicaid Private Funding	42%	2006 54% 45% 1%	2007 51% 46% 3%	2008 50% 49% 1%	2009 47% 52% 1%	2010 53% 45% 2%	2011 47% 50% 3%	2012 52% 47% 1%	2013 49% 50% 1%
Unduplicated count of clients serv	ed ann 2005 195	u ally 2006 185	2007 152	2008 161	2009 157	2010 184	2011 181	2012 211	2 2013 171
Average length of admission (disc Months Increase Decrease	harged cl 2005 11.0	ients on 2006 10.2	2007 8.6	2008 7.7	2009 7.9 .2	201 (3.8		4 5.2	4 6.8 1.56
Primary reasons for discharge Goals achieved/maximum benefit receive Non-attendance Client or parent reques	e 15%	26% 15%	14% 23%	21% 16%	27% 21%	2010 33% 24% 22% 14%	2011 19% 23% 22% 23%	2012 27% 33% 17% 9%	2013 26% 31% 21% 11%

Pediatric Program - continued

Average age for clients served in the pediatric program remained relatively consistent over the last few years ranging from 7-9 years of age.

Average length of admission in the pediatric program increased from 5.24 months in 2012 to 6.8 in 2013. There was a slight decrease in the rate of nonattendance as a primary reason for discharge from 33% in 2012 down to 31% in 2013. In the pediatric program, attendance continues to be our greatest challenge.

Unduplicated count of pediatric clients served annually decreased from 211 in 2012 to 171 in 2013. We could attribute this decrease to changes in the healthcare industry due to increased deductibles, co-pays and overall cost of insurance. The Center continues to focus on marketing efforts and transition of clients from the ECI program.

The pediatric program in 2013 indicated a higher rate of change in WeeFIM than the national average. This is indicative of successful therapeutic intervention at our facility.

Based on WeeFIM data, the majority of the clients served were in the following impairment groups: 56.6% in speech/language delay and 16.67% in disorders of attention.

WeeFIM Family Centered Feedback: 4-always 3-frequently 2 - sometimes 1- never

Weel IIII arilly believed recaback:	T GIVV	ayo o	negaci	nay Z	301110	uiiico	1 11000	<i>'</i>
Average	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Interim/6 months intervals								
Did the staff discuss with you the expectations for your child?	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8
Did the staff give you an opportunity to discuss your goals for								
your child?	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9
Did the staff make you feel like a partner in your child's care?	4	4	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4	3.9
Did you receive support from the staff to help you cope with the impact of your child's disability by advocating of your behalf?	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.7
Did the staff give you information about types of services in								
your community?	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5
Did the staff satisfy your needs for family centered care?	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8

WeeFIM Client Centered Feedback data continues to show consistently high ratings. Pediatric staff continues to focus on family education and involvement as a vital component of therapy. The staff continues to document a summary of progress at 2 month and 6 month intervals which is reviewed with the family regarding goals, progress, concerns and actions taken to address these concerns.

As with the Adult program, since 2006 the Center initiated the full spectrum of CORF services to all pediatric clients, to include regular review by the Medical Director and Social Worker.

Early Childhood Intervention (ECI) Program

Demographics

Gender Male	2005 61%	2006 62%	2007 59%	2008 61%	2009 60%	2010 61%	2011 63%	2012 63%	2013 62%
Female	38%	38%	41%	39%	40%	38%	37%	37%	38%
Ethnicity Mix Caucasians Hispanics African Americans Asian Other	2005 29% 58% 12% 1% .2%	2006 25% 61% 11% 1% 3%	2007 26% 55% 11% 1% 7%	2008 26% 58% 11% 1% 4%	2009 33% 56% 10% 1% 0%	2010 34% 53% 11% 1% 0%	2011 28% 60% 10% 2% 0%	2012 27% 61% 10% 1% 1%	2013 31% 59% 8% 1% 1%
Payer Sources Insurance Medicaid Other Funding	2005 20% 63% 17%	2006 21% 47% 32%	2007 17% 46% 37%	2008 19% 49% 32%	2009 17% 51% 32%	2010 18% 53% 28%	2011 22% 59% 19%	2012 26% 59% 15%	2013 21% 49% 30%
Average Monthly Enrollment				2008 596	2009 594	2010 577	2011 450	2012 438	2013 450
Increase				20%		0	.00	.00	2.6%
Decrease						3%	22%	3%	
Unduplicated count of clients se	erved a	nnuall	v.						
ondupricated count of chemis so	ci vea a	iiiiiaaii,	y	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
				2279	2608	2609	1668	1564	1862
Increase				10%	13%				16%
Decrease							36%	6.7%	
Referrals Monthly Average				2008 160	2009 176	2010 195	2011 125	2012 119	2013 149
Increase				10%	10%	10%	123	113	20%
Decrease				- / -			36%	5%	
Percentage Enrolled				35 %	32%	29%	35%	43%	28%
Increase							3%	8%	
Decrease				2%	3%	3%			15%

Early Childhood Intervention (ECI) Program - continued

Summary of Planned vs. Delivered data

ourimary or realmout of boiltorou data												
	200		200		_	09		009	_	2010		2010
	Plan	ned	Delive	ered	Plai	nned	Deli	elivered Planned			De	livered
	,	Avg/hrs	child/mo		Avg/hrs child/mo					Avg/hrs	child/mo)
Overall		3.4		1.9		3.2		2.3		3.1		2.2
**SST	81%*	1.6	81%	1.6	77%*	1.7	77%	1.3	88%*	1.7	83%	1.4
ОТ	19%*	1.9	19%	1.9	23%*	2.1	35%	.72	20%*	1.9	64%	1.2
PT	16%*	1.6	16%	1.6	23%*	2.0	46%	.90	15%*	1.6	64%	1
ST	16%*	1.6	16%	1.6	25%*	2.0	46%	.93	19%*	1.9	54%	1
Nutrition	33%*	.5	33%	.5	17%*	.67	67%	.47	12%*	.6	85%	.5

	_	2011 anned)11 vered		12 nned	2012 Delivered			13*** nned	-)13*** livered
		Avg/hrs	child/mo		А	vg/hrs	child/mo)		Avg/hrs	child/mo	
Overall		3.4		2.6		3.2		1.98		3.4		2.3
**SST	86%*	2.0	80%	1.6	70%	1.9	66%	1.3	71%	1.9	69%	1.3
ОТ	25%*	1.8	67%	1.2	33%	1.6	72%	1.1	41%	1.4	66%	.95
PT	22%*	1.6	75%	1.2	25%	1.5	79%	1.2	28%	1.3	67%	.87
ST	38%*	1.8	61%	1.1	52%	1.2	74%	.85	56%	1.2	67%	.84
Nutrition	12%*	.6	83%	.5	14%	.59	90%	.53	20%	.63	89%	.56

^{* %} of Population receiving a particular service **DS changed to SST in 2011 ***Data represents Jan-Nov

Average increase in each developmental area over a 12 month span of time from a random sample of infants/toddlers

	Express	Recep	GM	FM	Social	Self Help
2005	7.5	10.4	10.4	9.7	11.3	11.5
2006	9.3	10.3	9.3	9.4	11	10.6
2007	8.4	9.8	9.8	9.3	11	10.8
2008	9.0	10.2	10.5	9.7	11.2	10.5
2009	9.3	10.4	10.4	9.8	11.2	12.1
2010	10	11	11.4	10.9	12	11.5
2011	7.7	8.8	9.4	9.6	10.5	9.5
2012	11.6	11.1	13.5	14.4	12.1	13.2
2013	7.7	6.9	8.3	10.9	8.5	8.5

Analysis of data

Demographics

Gender: While unbalanced, the gender split of children serviced by the ECI program continues to hold steady with no significant shift. This same split is seen in programs in surrounding areas, as well as statewide, negating any hypothesis involving geographic location and gender makeup of ECI service recipients.

Ethnicity Mix: Caucasians continue to be underrepresented in contact and enrollment with regard to the total service area covered by the Bay Area Rehab ECI program. Without additional compilation and analysis of trends with regard to Caucasian patterns pertaining to how and where Caucasians are referred to and attend therapy, it is impossible to identify confounders related to achieving adequate representation.

Early Childhood Intervention (ECI) Program - continued

Payer Sources: Federal regulations indicate a goal of the ECI system is to target low socioeconomic status families and children for services. The expected fluctuation predicted in the 2012 Program Evaluation discussion of payer sources was seen in 2013. As family understanding of Medicaid requirements and associated documentation requirements increases, the Medicaid enrollment should stabilize, then continue upward. New revisions to the Federal Poverty Levels (FPL) confirm this projection. As the ACA requirements become effective, we will see a certain number of families move from ECI as a payer to Insurance or Medicaid as a payer. We are seeing a number of families stay on ECI as a payer due to lack of documentation of citizenship required for many insurances.

Average Monthly Enrollment: 2013 evidenced a steadier, if not slightly inflated, enrollment average around 450 as a result of high enrollment in the first calendar quarter and a contractual enrollment reduction. This average needs to increase to closer to 470 for the 2014 calendar year.

Unduplicated Count of Clients Served Annually: ECI referrals fluctuated wildly between children with mild or moderate developmental delay to a more severe, longitudinal expectation of developmental delay already evidenced by significantly affected patterns in health and activity. We welcomed any opportunity to serve the population and educate families, and as a result, many children evaluated did not qualify for services under the ECI requirements for enrollment.

Referrals: As a program, we saw an increase in referrals to ECI. However, a number of the referrals were not enrolled due to the child not having a significant enough delay (25% or more in any area, 33% or more if expressive language delay only) to qualify. Statewide, the percentage of referrals enrolled continues to trend toward the 30%-35% range.

Service Delivery Data

Planned vs. Delivered Data: The planned service data, by discipline, supports the contention that 2013 ECI enrollees were more severe and required more intense intervention. Historically, a higher percentage of children had SST (formerly referred to as Developmental Services) than the percentage of children with other therapies. Because SST focuses strictly on developmental issues, one would expect to see a decrease in utilization with a more severe enrollment and see an increase the utilization of other therapies. The data reflect this to be the case in 2013. There were also several barriers to delivery, impacting the average delivered hours. Family cancellations, child hospitalizations, vacations, and staffing issues all contributed to a 2.3 average. Contractual expectations establish a 2.6 average requirement, so 2014 should evidence a minimum monthly average of 2.6, resulting in a 2014 yearly average of no less than 2.6.

Improvement in Developmental Areas: The data reflect the increased severity of the children enrolled in ECI. With the types of medical conditions enrolled, many of the children, while achieving functional goals, do not see significant increases in all areas of development. Whereas in prior years, it was possible to enroll a child for lack of exposure, in 2013 it was not acceptable to enroll a child for this. Evidence supports that children exposed to developmental intervention and not otherwise delayed see sudden and substantial improvements.

Opportunity Center Program

Demographics

Age Groups		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
	15 - 18 years	1.9%	4.3%	5.2%	13%	2%	4%	3%
	19 - 26 years	26.4%	38.3%	41.1%	30%	34%	45%	24%
	27 - 45 years	41.5%	29.8%	35.5%	29%	47%	38%	40%
	46 - 59 years	28.3%	24.5%	18.2%	24%	16%	13%	21%
	60 + years	1.9%	3.1%	-	4%	1%	0%	12%
Gender		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
	Male	56.6%	52.1%	53.7%	65%	70%	62%	66%
	Female	43.4%	47.9%	46.3%	35%	30%	38%	34%
Ethnicity Mix		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
,	Caucasians	49.1%	53.7%	51.2%	58%	35%	44%	39%
	Hispanics	22.6%	19.7%	21.8%	24%	30%	26%	24%
Afri	can Americans	28.3%	26.6%	27%	18%	35%	30%	37%
Payer Sources		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
•	MHMRA 43/53	6%	6%	8%	10%	11%	7%	20%
	ISD		23%	25%	26%	21%	32%	18%
	Private Pay	4%	4%	7%	3%	3%	9%	11%
	Dads		10%	13%	14%	25%	20%	17%
	DARS		24%	22%	23%	20%	22%	21%
	Production	82%	33%	25%	24%	20%	10%	13%
Unduplicated c	ount of clients	served a	annually					
•		2007 55	2008 186	2009 189	2010 190	2011 181	2012 168	2013 181

The Opportunity Center Program provides vocational training, day habilitation, case management youth transition, HCS (Home and community based services), and job placement services to individuals with mental, intellectual, developmental, audio and/or visual impairment, or physical disabilities.

SITE BASED PROGRAMMING (Includes Parks & Recreation, Production, Recycling and Custodial Training)

DESCRIPTION - The Opportunity Center Program is a division of Bay Area Rehabilitation Center. The program and its components provide vocational training and placement services to adults with disabilities in East Harris County and the surrounding areas. Persons with mental, intellectual, developmental, vision impairment, or physical disabilities enroll in programs. The goal is to enhance work habits, promote social skills, and provide vocational skills needed to become qualified employees to community employers. Program participants can receive site-based services to overcome barriers to independent living and/or employment and to succeed socially in the community. Services include day habilitation, vocational training and youth transition programs. In addition services are provided for those individuals living with their families, in their own home or in other community settings. Services are designed to help individuals to secure and maintain employment and become productive members of their communities.

pre/post assessment to 181 participants upon admission and quarterly. Results indicated that 56%, 102 of 181 participants were able to identify and complete Vocational Skills.

Opportunity Center Program - continued

CAREER DEVELOPMENT TEAM (CDT)

DESCRIPTION-The Career Development Team (CDT) provides employment services to individuals as they prepare for pre-employment training and transition into competitive employment in the community. These services assist participants with self-determination and self-advocacy by focusing on each individual's interests, strengths and barriers; and by assisting them with locating, obtaining and retaining a job of their choice.

Services for Department of Rehabilitation Services (DRS) and Division for Blind Services (DBS) include:

DRS: Personal Social Adjustment Training (PSAT), Work Adjustment Training (WAT),, Job Placement, and Supported Employment

DBS: Work Adjustment Training (WAT), Job Placement, and Supported Employment **DISCUSSION**-Measurement was based on the following outcome rating: Of the 39 total unduplicated consumers served, 23 were eligible for case closure. Staff conducts an interview with participants and their supervisor upon reaching 90 days on-the-job to determine if Job Stability has been reached. Job Stability was reported by 59%, 23 of 39 participants. CDT program will be the focus this year on improving the number is individuals hired for completive employment.

YOUTH TRANSITION TO ADULT PROGRAM (YTAP)

DESCRIPTION-YTAP provides vocational training services to transition aged students (17-22 years of age) as they learn vocational skills and appropriate workplace behaviors. Classroom instruction time, training time and supports are provided to eliminate and/or accommodate barriers to employment, which may limit an individual's ability to perform meaningful paid or competitive employment.

DISCUSSION-Measurement was based on the following outcome rating. Through pre/post assessment students gained knowledge of job readiness skills, and money management. Results indicated that 80%, 26 of 32 students assessed were able to identify the competencies of the assessment.

HCS PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT

DESCRIPTION-In 2009 Bay Area Rehabilitation Center added the Texas Department of Aging and Disabilities Services-HCS Program. The Home and Community Based Services (HCS) Program provides individualized services and supports to persons with developmental disabilities, who are living with their family, in their own home or in other community settings. Services include: case management, adaptive aids, minor home modifications, counseling and therapies (includes audiology, speech/language pathology, occupational or physical therapy, dietary services, social work, and psychology), dental treatment, nursing, supported home living, foster/companion care, supervised living, residential support, respite, day habilitation and supported employment.

This comprehensive program includes full and part time staff. Staff will be recruited through various media outlets and will require specific training annually. Office space, office supplies, additional telephone lines and phones, computers, and other adaptive technology will be needed to support this program, as well as, training that includes cross training of existing staff, external training, and community resource training.

DISCUSSION-Program currently service 25 clients and employs 1 full-time staff. HCS program is a choice program so as individual transfers to our program this will increase our numbers.

Select Organizational Information

2013 Financial Information (unaudited)

Revenues

Income generated from Operational Sources Contributions and Bequests		\$4,923,862 <u>478,232</u>	
	Total Revenues	\$5,547,242	
Expenses By Department			
Pediatric Therapy Adult Therapy Aquatic Program Opportunity Program General & Admin Fund Development		\$3,196,445 430,453 112,580 1,118,643 709,320 <u>55,506</u>	
	Total Expenses	\$5,622,948	
	Net Surplus/(Loss)	(\$220,853)	
End of Year	Net Asset Balance	<u>\$3,274,203</u>	

2013 Board of Directors

Virginia Chase, Chairman

Shirlyn Cummings, Vice Chair

Barry James, Secretary

Lynne Foley, Secretary

Doug Walker, Director

John C Mabry, Director

Gary Yeoman, Director

Mark A Alexander, Executive Director, Ex Officio Board Member

5313 Decker Drive, Baytown, Texas 77520

(281) 838-4477 * Fax (281) 838-4481

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT Receipt of Annual Program Evaluation Report

Bay Area Rehabilitation Center is a CARF-Accredited organ	nization committed to continually
improving our organization and service delivery to the personal	ons served. Program Evaluation data is
collected and information is used to manage and improve se	ervice delivery as well as inform the staff
and other stakeholders about the Center and ongoing operation	ions.
On, I received the 2013 P understand that it is my responsibility to review the informa	
Employee Signature	Date
Employee Printed Name	

0204-01 03/08/2013 ADM © 2013 BARC