Bay Area Rehabilitation Center 2018

Program Evaluation

(January 2018 - December 2018)

Submit for:

Board of Directors Review March 27, 2019





The Mission of Bay Area Rehabilitation Center is to provide outpatient therapeutic, vocational, social skill training and recreational services for persons with disabilities or injuries and support services for their families. The Center also seeks to advocate for and work to provide accessible and affordable housing for this population.

Strategic Focus

Focus areas for 2019

- I. Continue to enhance the community's knowledge regarding the variety of services offered and outcomes achieved at Bay Area Rehabilitation Center.
- II. Complete ongoing capital improvement projects begun in 2018.
- III. Investigate, develop, and implement one major area of program expansion for each organizational program.
- IV. Foster current investment options to help improve and ensure the continual financial stability of the Center.

Programs offered

We provide rehabilitative services for clients from birth through all life stages in the following programs:

- Adult Program provides occupational, physical, speech therapy and social services to clients
 over the age of 21 years of age with rehabilitative needs. The program provides diverse treatment
 plans with the use of the aquatic setting and a large, well-equipped therapy gym.
- Work Rehabilitation Program provides pre—work screening for local companies, Functional Capacity Evaluations (FCE) and work hardening/ work conditioning program for injured clients.
- Pediatric Program provides occupational, physical, speech therapy and social services to clients
 up to 21 years of age with rehabilitative needs. Provides comprehensive evaluations and team
 approach to services provided.
- Early Childhood Intervention Program provides occupational, physical, speech therapy, nutrition, behavior intervention, social and developmental services offered in the child's natural environment for children ages 0 3 years of age. The focus of the program is family education and service coordination.
- Opportunity Center Program In May of 2007, with support of the Center Board of Directors and organizational membership, the Baytown Opportunity Center merged into the Bay Area Rehabilitation Center and is now known as the Opportunity Center Program. The Opportunity Center provides Vocational Rehabilitation programs to clients who have physical and mental disabilities.

In addition, we offer or assist with:

- Assistive technology evaluations for active clients and community members to include: augmentative communication devices, orthotic devices and prosthetics.
- Aquatic Exercise classes for community members to participate in recreational aquatic exercise; classes offered three times per day.
- Accessible housing for the disabled at Rollingbrook Apartments in Baytown, at Paul Chase Commons in Houston (Clear Lake), the Woodlands, and in Pasadena, through an association with Accessible Space, Inc.

Outcome Measurement Systems

The Center utilizes several outcome measurement systems to include:

- LIFEware system in the Adult Program
- Outcome measurement in the Pediatric Program
- Battelle Developmental Inventory in the ECI Program
- Annual Client Surveys in the Opportunity Center Programs

Data is collected on each client at various times in each program, typically upon entry into the program or the initial evaluation, with subsequent measures taken based on timing/length of stay and in most cases immediately prior to or at discharge from the program. When possible, such as with LIFEware and Battelle, the data collected is compared to national data of similar type of diagnosis. In the other programs we make internal and historical comparisons. The analysis of the data allows the Center and each of its programs to identify areas of strength and areas that need improvements. We conduct a comprehensive review of each program and the services provided on a quarterly basis and implement changes as indicated.

Statistical Methodology: All statistical data is based on positive responses from clients. All surveys are designed to elicit a response for each question. A non-response on a survey is removed from the population used to develop the numerical outcome. Part of the ongoing survey effort is to obtain as much data as possible from each client in order to present a more accurate survey summary.

Continuous Quality Improvement System

The Center utilizes a continuous quality improvement system (CQI) to review established clinical indicators for each program to assure that we continue to provide quality care to the clients and their families. Data is collected on a monthly basis on specific indicators identified for each program. The Program Director reviews the data quarterly for each program and develops a program report summarizing the results with stated recommendations.

The reports with accompanying data is reviewed quarterly with the program staff, Center's management, Utilization Review Committee and the Board of Directors to address the report findings, recommendations made and develop a plan to implement the changes.

The information derived from each programs CQI report is used to address documentation issues, procedural safeguards, staffing issues and provide better outcomes for the clients served.

2018 Improvements at the Center

All of the programs at the Center have made improvements in:

- Compliance with organizational and regulatory timelines
- Enhanced marketing efforts via more fluid website activity as well as an improved Facebook and social media presence

Significant capital replacements have occurred throughout the facility with no remaining planned capital replacement projects for the next five years

Elimination of all Center debt as well as the establishment of reserve funding via Board Designated Assets

<u>Demographics of the clients served for all services</u> **Age Groups** – There was a slight change in the combined age distribution of persons served in all age groups compared to 2017.

Age Groups 0-2 years 3-20 years 21 + Percentage of total population	2008 69% 9% 22%	2009 74% 5% 23%	2010 75% 6% 20%	2011 64% 9% 27%	2012 61% 10% 29%	2013 63% 7% 24%	2014 65% 9% 26%	2015 62% 11% 27%	2016 67% 8% 25%	2017 69% 7% 24%	2018 75% 6% 19%
Gender	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Male	62%	59%	60%	63%	63%	64%	64%	63%	63%	61%	62%
Female	38%	41%	40%	37%	37%	36%	36%	37%	37%	39%	38%
Geographic											
Location	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Houston*	34%	30%	37%	35%	34.5%	36%	36%	38%	41%	36%	41%
Baytown	31%	31%	31%	34%	34.5%	35%	34%	32%	28%	33%	29%
Pasadena	20%	23%	18%	17%	16.4%	15%	18%	18%	18%	16%	16%
Crosby	7%	7%	4%	5%	4.6%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%
Channelview	4%	5%	5%	5%	5.2%	5%	4%	5%	5%	6%	6%
La Porte	4%	4%	4%	4%	4.6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%
*and surrounding area											
Ethnicity											
Ethnicity Mix	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Caucasians	38%	42%	38%	39%	39%	40%	42%	40%	39%	39%	48%
Hispanics	46%	46%	49%	47%	46%	45%	42 % 45%	48%	50%	52%	44%
African	13%	40 % 11%	11%	12%	13%	13%	11%	11%	10%	7%	7%
Americans	13/0	11/0	11/0	12/0	13/0	13/0	11/0	11/0	10 /6	1 /0	1 /0
Asians	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Payer											
Sources											
by client	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Medicaid	37%	39%	42%	42%	40.3%	34.5%	29%	45%	35%	48%	56%
Insurance	24%	22%	23%	26%	28%	22%	21%	19%	23%	21%	24%
ECI (state	22%	23%	21%	12%	9.3%	19%	14%	12%	23%	15%	7%
funding)											
Medicare	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3.5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%
Workman's Comp	2%	2%	1%	1%	1.3%	.24%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Industrial								13%	7%	5%	5%
Contracts								5%	7%	6%	5%
Other	12%	10%	9%	15%	17%	20%	31%	2%	1%	0%	1%
Unduplicated count	of alia	nte cor	wad an	nually	(oveludi	na saus	tice ov	arcica\			
Unduplicated count	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	ng aqua 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	3321	3637	3519	2606	2 5 12	2952	3305	3081	2925	2862	3562
Increase	9%	9%	0010	2000	2001	13%	11%	0001	2020	2002	20%
Decrease	370	370	3%	26%	1.5%	.070	. 1 /0	7%	5%	2%	2070

Client satisfaction

Center clients overwhelmingly reported that they were satisfied with services provided. Client satisfaction surveys are administered to every client at time of admission, established interims for long-term clients, and discharge. The data collected from the satisfaction surveys is analyzed to make program improvements.

Examples of the many positive comments received in 2018:

- A great experience. Admin & all staff very professional and kind at all times. Staff strives to excel with each patient.
- Exceptionally friendly & helpful staff; walked the patient through every single exercise to help her.
- I appreciate the techniques and level of care that I received.
- This has been so beneficial to me as well as eagerness on everyone part to help me reach my goal.
- Very knowledgeable and friendly staff. Answers all question and educates their patients.
- Therapists were courteous and very helpful. With their help I achieved the therapy I needed to get back to work.
- I was pleasantly surprised at my progress from the very first session. Your staff is amazing.
- Physical Therapist is very knowledgeable, caring. Used the taping technique for my ankles & knee swelling she's awesome!

Suggestions received:

- Offer sign language.
- Some sort or group time for socialization.
- Have TV w/cartoons in waiting room for your children.
- Later or earlier hours.
- I wish they had pick up & drop off transportation van at no cost.

Adult Program

Demographics

Average A6 49 52 52 55 45 43 42 46 49 49 49 Age Age 5 - 39 years 35% 27% 21% 33% 37.5% 44% 46% 52% 41% 32% 29% 40 - 59 yrs 44% 46% 48% 40% 37% 33% 33% 27% 31% 37% 43% 60 - 79 yrs 19% 23% 25% 24% 22% 21% 20% 19% 25% 28% 25% 80 - 90 yrs 2% 4% 5% 2% 3.5% 2% 61% 62% 67% 67% 57% 60% 59% Female 38% 45% 48% 39% 38% 33% 33% 33% 43% 40% 41% 41% 41% 10% 10% 10% 15% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% Americans Payer Sources, by client
5 - 39 years 35% 27% 21% 33% 37.5% 44% 46% 52% 41% 32% 29% 40 - 59 yrs 44% 46% 48% 40% 37% 33% 33% 27% 31% 37% 43% 60 - 79 yrs 19% 23% 25% 24% 22% 21% 20% 19% 25% 28% 25% 80 - 90 yrs 2% 4% 5% 2% 3.5% 2% 1% 20% 3% 3% 3% Gender 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Male 62% 55% 52% 61% 62% 67% 67% 57% 60% 59% Female 38% 45% 48% 39% 38% 33% 33% 33% 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians 71% 75% 77% 72%
60 - 79 yrs 19% 23% 25% 24% 22% 21% 20% 19% 25% 28% 25% 80 - 90 yrs 2% 4% 5% 2% 3.5% 2% 1% 2% 3% 3% 3% Gender 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Male 62% 55% 52% 61% 62% 67% 67% 67% 67% 67% 67% 57% 60% 59% 60% 59% 58% 33% 33% 33% 33% 43% 40% 41% Ethnicity Mix 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians 71% 75% 77% 77% 72% 68% 64% 58% 59% 60% 60% 62% 62% 62% 62% Hispanics 14% 12% 12% 13% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 19% 19% African African 14% 11% 10% 10% 10% 14% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% 14% 16% Payer Sources, by client Medicare 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 22% 32.5% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19%
80 - 90 yrs 2% 4% 5% 2% 3.5% 2% 1% 2% 3% 3% 3% Gender 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Male 62% 55% 52% 61% 62% 67% 67% 57% 60% 59% Female 38% 45% 48% 39% 38% 33% 33% 43% 40% 41% Ethnicity Mix 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians 71% 75% 77% 72% 68% 64% 58% 59% 60% 62% 62% Hispanics 14% 12% 12% 13% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 19% African 14% 10% 10%
Male Female 62% 55% 52% 48% 39% 38% 33% 33% 33% 33% 43% 40% 40% 41% Ethnicity Mix 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians Hispanics African Americans 14% 11% 10% 10% 10% 14% 15% 15% 17% 18% 14% 16% Payer Sources, by client Medicare 17% 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Mix Mix Payer Sources 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians African 14% 11% 10% 10% 10% 14% 15% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 20% 19% 16% African Americans 14% 11% 10% 10% 10% 14% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% Payer Sources, by client Medicare 17% 2008 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer Comp Employer 20% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% 18% 18% 28.2% 36.4% 0.64% Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% 1% .8% .64%
Ethnicity Mix 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians 71% 75% 77% 72% 68% 64% 58% 59% 60% 62% 62% Hispanics 14% 12% 12% 13% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 19% African 14% 11% 10% 10% 14% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% Americans 2008 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10%
Ethnicity Mix 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians 71% 75% 77% 72% 68% 64% 58% 59% 60% 62% 62% Hispanics 14% 12% 12% 13% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 19% African 14% 11% 10% 10% 14% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% Americans 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workm
Mix 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Caucasians 71% 75% 77% 72% 68% 64% 58% 59% 60% 62% 62% Hispanics 14% 12% 12% 13% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 19% African 14% 11% 10% 10% 14% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% Americans 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10%
Caucasians 71% 75% 77% 72% 68% 64% 58% 59% 60% 62% 62% Hispanics 14% 12% 12% 13% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 19% African 14% 11% 10% 10% 14% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% Americans 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 38.4% Comp 54% 35.8% 28.2%<
Hispanics African African 14% 12% 12% 13% 15% 17% 21% 22% 20% 20% 19% African Americans Payer Sources, by client 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 36 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% 8% 64%
African Americans 14% 11% 10% 10% 14% 15% 19% 17% 18% 14% 16% 16% Americans Payer Sources, by client 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer 54% 35.8% 28.2% 36.4% Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Americans Payer Sources, by client 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer 54% 35.8% 28.2% 36.4% Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer 54% 35.8% 28.2% 36.4% Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Insurance 42% 43% 46% 38% 33% 26% 22% 22% 32.5% 39.3% 30% Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Medicare 17% 20% 25% 19% 21% 14% 13% 12% 17% 18.2% 16% Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Workman's 9% 10% 6% 6% 6% 1% 3% 3% 3.5% 4.5% 3.8% Comp Employer 54% 35.8% 28.2% 36.4% Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Comp Employer 54% 35.8% 28.2% 36.4% Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Employer 54% 35.8% 28.2% 36.4% Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Other 32% 27% 23% 37% 40% 59% 61% 8% 1% .8% .64%
Unduplicated count of clients served annually (excluding aquatics exercise)
2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018
695 683 536 576 624 738 746 722 548 507 472
Average number of visits per client (Analysis of data-discharged therapy clients only, PWS not included)
2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018
10.2 9.1 8.8 11.4 10.9 10.4 10.2 10.0 9.4 10.1 10.3
Service received (PWS clients not included)
2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018
PT only 58% 57% 64% 65% 61% 69% 71% 70% 72% 68.4% 73%
OT only 35% 35% 28% 25% 27% 22% 22% 18% 19% 21.4% 15.7%
ST only 4% 2.2% 3.3% 4% PT, OT, ST 7% 8% 8% 10% 12% 9% 7% 8% 2.5% 1.7% 2.3%
Other Comb 4.2% 5.2% 5%

<u>Adult Program – continued</u>

Impairment Typ Neurological Stroke Orthopedic Musculoskeletal Arthritic Other	oe	2009 5% 4% 66% 14% 11%	2010 19% 3% 53% 15%	2011 9% 3% 50% 19%	2012 4% 5% 40% 29%	2013 4% 4% 47% 18% 27%	2014 12% 2% 30% 19% 37%	2015 16% 2% 27% 39% 8% 8%	2016 5% 2% 31% 47% 11% 4%	2017 1.9% .97% 28.7% 63.9% .65% 2.6%	2018 4.8% 3% 54.2% 29.9% 1.1% 7%
Client report at			_								
lana anno ann tha	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Improvement in functional status	84%	79%	72%	74%	77%	77%	82%	67%	80%	82%	77%
Improvement in limitation of activities/ lifestyle	86%	76%	74%	73%	77%	75%	84%	48%	76%	83%	78%
Decrease in symptoms	94%	91%	88%	87%	88%	85%	93%	58%	85%	86%	78%
Primary reasor											
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Goals achieved	28%	18%	12%	22%	17.5%	19%	18%	25%	23%	27.6%	23.2%
Non- attendance	17%	17%	7%	14%	18.7%	17%	18%	18%	25%	24.2%	28.3%
	18%	18%	7% 26%	23%	34.6%	25%	14%	21%	16%	24.2% 15.8%	11.8%
attendance Maximum	18% 13%		26% 13%	23% 20%				21% 21%			11.8% 21.3%
attendance Maximum benefit Client/parent	18%	18%	26% 13% 3%	23% 20% 3%	34.6% 20.6% 4.6%	25% 20% 4%	14%	21% 21% 5%	16% 19% 4%	15.8%	11.8% 21.3% 3.2%
attendance Maximum benefit Client/parent request Physician	18% 13%	18% 17%	26% 13%	23% 20%	34.6% 20.6%	25% 20%	14% 31%	21% 21%	16% 19%	15.8% 17.5%	11.8% 21.3%

Diagnosis Sample of diagnoses trea		Male	Female	Average Age	Average visits	Improvement in functional status	Cause for lack	c of improvement
Condition	2007	27	36	54	14	75%	8% nonattendance	11% client/MD request
of the	2008	27	28	52	7.6	70%	36% max. benefit	45% client/MD request
back	2009	17	30	58	12	70%	15% max. benefit	9% client/MD request
buok	2010	26	41	54	9	79%	19% max. benefit	19% client request
	2011	14	19	55	8	54%	35% max. benefit	26% nonattendance
	2012	11	10	56	9	61%	39% max. benefit	28% client/MD request
	2013	211	21	60	7.7	57%	55% max. benefit	27% client request
	2014	13	20	54	10.3	70%	16% max. benefit	66% client/MD request1/6
	2015	2	14	47	4.9	18%	18% max. benefit	36% client request
	2016	30	34	54	10.1	73%	20% max. benefit	17% client request
	2017	32	44	58	9.9	69%	36% nonattendance	36% client request
ĺ	2018	25	52	56	9.2	73%	41% nonattendance	29%% client request

<u>Adult Program – continued</u>

			nue	<u>~</u>		I	0	f !
Diagnosis Sample of diagnoses trea	ated	Male	Female	Average Age	Average visits	Improvement in functional status	Cause for lack	c of improvement
Condition	2007	2	13	62	9	73%	0% nonattendance	20% client/MD request
of the	2008	3	14	53	9	64%	60% max. benefit	20% client/MD request
cervical	2009	5	5	59	12	70%	20% max. benefit	10% client/MD request
region	2010	5	20	54	7.9	72%	5% max. benefit	21% client/MD request
region	2011	3	4	51	7.5	80%	17% no contact	33% client request
	2012	0	3	50	5	20%	43% no contact	14% Ins authorization
	2013	5	13	57	9.7	61%	17% max. benefit	11% client request
	2014	3	4	54	10.3	100%		
	2015	3	5	55	7.5	50%	33% Illness	66% client/MD request
	2016	7	11	57	9.4	67%	28% max. benefit	20% client request
	2017	5	6	61	9.6	82%	50% nonattendance	50% Goals achieved
	2018	4	1	58	8.3	71%	25%% no contact	50% client/MD request
Difficult	2007	9	7	58	17	74%	13% Change in medical status	6% MD request
in waking	2008	12	18	54	12.9	91%	50% nonattendance	50% client request
	2009	5	11	67	13	56%	2% max. benefit	29% client request
	2010	11	19	62	16.8	77%	28% max. benefit	4% Illness
	2011	23	31	60	12.5	73%	30% max. benefit	24% client request
	2012	19	39	58	12.7	82%	55% max. benefit	29% no contact
	2013	5	17	59	10.4	68%	100% max. benefit	
	2014	22	31	54	10.1	60%	33% nonattendance	33% client request
	2015	19	37	56	10.9	72%	27% max. benefit	33% client request
	2016	19	30	57	15.6	94%	27% max. benefit	14% Insurance Authorization
	2017	21	28	57	13.1	92%	50% Change in medical status	25% nonattendance
	2018	9	20	59	12.2	89%	33% nonattendance	33% client request
Joint	2007	44	55	47	12	89%	1% max. benefit	1% client/MD request
pain	2008	21	20	51	13.8	97%	100% max. benefit	·
P	2009	21	24	49	12	89%	4% non attendance	4% client request
	2010	16	33	52	11	82%	8% max. benefit	3% client request
	2011	17	25	53	13.5	85%	27% max. benefit	20% client request
	2012	28	23	57	12.8	81%	41% max. benefit	21% client request
	2013	29	34	53	10.4	70%	42% max. benefit	34% client/MD request
	2014	22	38	54	10.2	68%	20% max. benefit	20% client/MD request
	2015	18	37	56	10.9	69%	25% max. benefit	38% client request
	2016	16	38	56	11.5	83%	26% max. benefit	17% client request
	2017	9	14	60	11.4	100%		
	2018	8	26	55	9.6	80%	33% nonattendance	33% client request
Joint	2007	28	17	49	14	93%	2% Change in medical status	
stiffness	2008	9	6	51	13.13	100%		
3	2009	7	1	51	10	88%	13% Moved from area	
	2010	3	7	60	9.2	70%		14% client request
	2011	9	17	56	11.5	58%	20% nonattendance	35% client request
	2012	20	24	55	11.4	71%	55% max. benefit	29% client request
	2013	20	21	58	10	68%	50% max. benefit	30% client request
	2014	8	6	54	10.2	91%	9% max. benefit	
	2015	9	4	54	12.3	90%	9% max benefit	9% client request
	2016	10	8	58	13.2	89%	17% max benefit	22% Insurance Authorization
	2017	31	14	54	13.6	89%	60% nonattendance	20% Insurance Authorization
	2018	25	27	57	11.6	85%	42% nonattendance	42% client request

<u>Adult Program – continued</u>

Average age for clients served in the adult program is still at 49 years of age in 2018. 71% of the clients served were 40 years old or older and 29% were below the age of 40. Our overall census in 2017 was 507 but declined to 472 in 2018. Since there was a small increase in work rehabilitation clients with 172 in 2017 to 179 in 2018, this decline in census is in part related to a fewer number of referrals to the adult program (361 in 2017 compared to 266 in 2018).

The average number of visits per client increased by 0.2 from 10.1 in 2017 to 10.3 in 2018. This is due to increased efforts to get clients in for treatment and a decreased number of no-shows and cancellations. The percentage of self-reported functional status at the time of discharge has decreased overall in 2018 compared to 2017 in regards to improving function, activities/lifestyle, and decreased symptoms. This could be due to an increase in neurological/stroke patients (2.87% in 2017 compared to 7.8% in 2018), a population which typically responds negatively to self-reported functional status. Clients' length of admission and total number of visits was within the national norms for all 4 quarters of 2018 according to the LifeWARE report. There was also a shift in classifying impairment types in 2018 due to a redefinition of orthopedic and musculoskeletal diagnoses, but combined, the orthopedic/musculoskeletal group accounted for a total of 84.1% of the total patient population in 2018 compared to 92.6% in 2017.

The adult team continued to evaluate all adult clients using CORF requirements, to include review with the Medical Director and the Social Worker. This coordinated effort continues to result in a more comprehensive level of therapy for all adult clients seen at the Center. Those clients requiring a Social Service need were referred to the appropriate agency after coordination with the client.

Clients discharged from services for several reasons with goals achieved decreased from 27.6% in 2017 to 23.2% in 2018 resulting in a larger percentage of non-goal related discharges such as lack of insurance authorization increasing from 5.7% in 2017 to 9.7% in 2018 and an increase from 17.5% in 2017 to 21.3% in 2018 in client/parent request. This last group can occur when the client/parent decides they have met their goals and feel they no longer require therapy, or they have opted for surgery.

Pediatric Program

Demographics

Age Groups	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Average Age	6.7	7	9	9	8.4	8.3	8.4	9.1	8.1	8.2	8.4
0 - 2 years	4%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
3 - 4 years	30%	31%	23%	26%	28%	28%	31%	33%	35%	30%	30%
5 - 6 years	29%	20%	21%	16%	16%	19%	15%	16%	14%	20%	20%
7+	37%	47%	56%	56%	55%	53%	53%	51%	50%	50%	50%
Gender	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Male	73%	62%	61%	62%	63%	64%	67%	62%	63%	65%	64%
Female	27%	38%	39%	38%	37%	36%	33%	38%	37%	35%	36%
Ethnicity											2018
Mix	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
Caucasians	45%	36%	40%	38%	36%	39%	32%	32%	36%	39%	40%
Hispanics	40%	48%	45%	45%	40%	35%	47%	47%	39%	46%	47%
Áfrican	14%	13%	12%	13%	18%	22%	15%	16%	20%	12%	11%
Americans											
Other									5%	4%	2%
Payer Sources,	•	nt									
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Insurance	50%	47%	53%	47%	52%	49%	40%	33%	39%	33%	39%
Medicaid	49%	52%	45%	50%	47%	50%	56%	63%	55%	63%	56%
Private	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%
Funding											
Unduplicated co	ount of	clients	serve	d annua	ally (ex	cluding	aquati	cs exe	rcise)		
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	161	157	184	181	211	171	211	223	205	191	217
Average length	of adm	ission	(dischar	ged clier	nts only)						
5 5	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Months	7.62	7.87	3.83	6.96	5.16	6.71	5.3	5.3	5.65	5.62	5.74
Change	76	.25	-4.04	3.13	-1.80	1.55	-1.41	0	.35	03	.12
Service receive	d (PWS	clients n									
			2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
PT only			25%	31%	28%	23%	22%	26%	28%	29%	27%
OT only			14%	9%	12%	14%	10%	10%	7.8%	6%	10%
ST only			36%	28%	31%	30%	42%	42%	38.5%	37.7%	41%
PT, OT, ST			25%	32%	29%	32%	26%	22%	1.46%	3.7%	3%
Other									23.9%	23%	19%
Comb											
Average numbe	r of vis	its ner	client								
orago mambo		poi	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
			12.3	24.6	16.4	22.4	57.6	21.5	26	23.8	27.5
				•			- · · •	•			

Pediatric Program - continued

Impairment Type Neurological Congenital/ Developmental							2014 6% 38%	2015 5% 45%	2016 4% 55%	2017 3% 57%	2018 4% 52%
Orthopedic Musculoskeletal							13% 6%	14% 19%	12% 23%	3% 36%	27% 9%
Acquired Brian							1%	8%	4%	1%	1%
Injury							00/	4007	00/	40/	70/
Other							8%	10%	2%	1%	7%
Average	incrose	so in os	ch dov	olonm	ontal a	.03 OVO	r a 12 i	month (enan of	timo	
Average	IIICIEas	se iii ea	icii uev	elopili	entai ai	ea ove	i a izi	2015	ծ բ ап Ծ	2017	2018
All Areas								10%	9.7%	13%	10.9%
PT								24%	-1%	-2%	15.1%
OT								7.5%	12%	18.2%	18.7%
ST								6.8%	9.7%	11.2%	7.3%
Primary i	reasons	s for d	ischard	ae							
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Goals											
achieved/	21%	27%	33%	19%	27%	26%	26%	35%	39%	25%	25%
Max Benefit									0070	2070	2070
Non-	16%	21%	24%	23%	33%	31%	35%	35%	19%	36%	27%
attendance											
Client/parent	19%	13%	22%	22%	17%	21%	23%	14%	19%	29%	26%
request											
Insurance Authorization		25%	14%	23%	9%	11%	11%	11%	7%	6%	12%

Average client's age in the pediatric program rose from 8.2 to 8.4 in 2018 ranging consistently over the last few years between 7-9 years of age. 50% of the clients served were 7 or older and 50% were below the age of 7, no change in 2018 compared to 2017. Unduplicated count of pediatric clients increased from 191 to 217 in 2018 with an increase in ST clients (37.7% to 41% in 2018) and OT clients (6% to 10% in 2018). We continue to focus on marketing efforts and transition of clients from the ECI program.

The average length of admission in the pediatric program slightly grew from 5.62 months in 2017 to 5.74 months in 2018, linked to the increase of ST and OT clients who are traditionally seen for longer periods of time, and an increase in the average number of visits per client from 23.8 to 27.5 in 2018. There was a shift in classifying impairment type in 2018 due to a redefinition of orthopedic and musculoskeletal diagnoses, but combined, the orthopedic/musculoskeletal group accounted for a total of 36% of the patient population in 2018 compared to 39% in 2017. There was a significant decrease of discharges due to nonattendance in 2018 comparing 36% to 27% in 2017 and 26% of discharges in 2018 were related to clients'/parents requests, compared to 29% in 2017. Clients who met their goals at discharge held steady at 25%, but there was a sharp increase of discharges due to lack of insurance authorization, rising from 6% in 2017 to 12% in 2018. 52% of the client's' impairments in 2018 were congenital/ developmental disorder, down from 57% in 2017, and 4% of the impairments were related to neurological disorders compared to 3% in 2017.

Pediatric Program - continued

The newly developed Pediatric Outcome Survey, which measures the client's development from initial evaluation to discharge, saw a decrease in all areas combined from 13% in 2017 to 10.9% in 2018. While there was a significant increase in PT clients of -2% to 15.1% in 2018, ST clients saw a decrease from 11.3% to 7.3% in 2018 possibly due to severity of the conditions of the clients. Pediatric staff continues to focus on family education and involvement as a vital component of therapy. The staff document a summary of progress at 2 and 6 month intervals which is reviewed with the family regarding goals, progress, concerns and actions taken to address these concerns. As with the adult program, since 2006 the Center initiated the full spectrum of CORF services to all pediatric clients, to include regular review by the Medical Director and Social Worker.

Early Childhood Intervention (ECI) Program

Demographics

Gender Male	2008 61%	2009 60%	2010 61%	2011 63%	2012 63%	2013 62%	2014 63%	2015 62.5%	2016 64.6%	2017 61.7%	2018 63.3%
Female	39%	40%	38%	37%	37%	38%	37%	37.5%	35.4%	38.3%	36.7%
Ethnicity											
Mix	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Caucasians	26%	33%	34%	28%	27%	31%	35%	33%	29.9%	31.7%	44.9%
Hispanics	58%	56%	53%	60%	61%	59%	55%	60.7%	64%	64.1%	50%
Áfrican	11%	10%	11%	10%	10%	8%	7%	5.8%	5.6%	3.7%	4.8%
Americans											
Asian	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	.4%	.41%	0.4%	0.3%
Other	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	.05%	.05%	0%
Payer Sources											
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Insurance	19%	17%	18%	22%	26%	21%	26%	18.6%	25.7%	17.4%	23.4%
Medicaid	49%	51%	53%	59%	59%	49%	46%	61.6%	51.1%	61%	67.9%
Other	32%	32%	28%	19%	15%	30%	28%	19.8	23.2%	21.6%	8.7%
Funding											
Unduplicated	count o	of clien	ts serv	ed ann	ually (e	excludi	ng aqu	atics ex	ercise)		
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	2279	2608	2609	1668	1564	1862	2158	1921	1946	1978	2679
Average Mont	hly Enr	ollmen	t								
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	2008 596	2009 594	2010 577	2011 450	2012 438	2013 450	2014 444	2015 444	2016 444	2017 484	2018 534
Increase (i)	596 20%		577 3%	450 22%	438 3%	450 2.7%	444 1%				
Increase (i) /Decrease (d)	596		577	450	438	450	444	444	444	484	534
	596 20%		577 3%	450 22%	438 3%	450 2.7%	444 1%	444	444	484	534
/Decrease (d)	596 20% (i)	594	577 3% (d)	450 22% (d)	438 3% (i)	450 2.7% (i)	444 1% (d)	444 NC	444 NC	484 8.62%	534 10.3%
/Decrease (d)	596 20% (i) 2008	594 2009	577 3% (d) 2010	450 22% (d) 2011	438 3% (i) 2012	450 2.7% (i) 2013	444 1% (d) 2014	444 NC 2015	444 NC 2016	484 8.62% 2017	534 10.3% 2018
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i)	596 20% (i) 2008 160	594 2009 176	577 3% (d) 2010 195	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36%	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5%	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25%	444 1% (d) 2014 150	444 NC 2015 180 16.7%	444 NC 2016 181	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25%	534 10.3% 2018
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d)	596 20% (i) 2008 160	594 2009 176	577 3% (d) 2010 195	450 22% (d) 2011 125	438 3% (i) 2012 119	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149	444 1% (d) 2014 150	444 NC 2015 180	444 NC 2016 181	484 8.62% 2017 165	534 10.3% 2018 202.5
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i)	594 2009 176 10% (i)	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i)	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d)	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d)	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i)	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i)	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i)	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i)	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d)	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7%
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35%	594 2009 176 10% (i) 32%	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29%	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35%	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43%	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28%	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34%	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6%	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7%	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5%	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4%
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2%	594 2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3%	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3%	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3%	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8%	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15%	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6%	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4%	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4%	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7%	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75%
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i) /Decrease (d)	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d)	594 2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3% (d)	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d)	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i)	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i)	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d)	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i)	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d)	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i)	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5%	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4%
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i)	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d)	2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3% (d)	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d)	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i)	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i)	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d)	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i)	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d)	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i)	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7% (i)	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75% (d)
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Average incre	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d) ase in 2008	2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3% (d) develor 2009	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d) comenta 2010	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i)	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i) over a 2012	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d) 12 mon 2013	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i) outh spar 2014	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d) n of time 2015	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i)	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7% (i)	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75% (d)
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Average incre Expressive	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d) ase in 6 2008 9.0	2009 176 10% (i) 32% (d) develop 2009 9.3	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d) comenta 2010 10	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i) al area (438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i) over a 2012 11.6	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d) 12 mon 2013 7.7	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i) 1th spantage	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d) n of time 2015 8.77	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i) 2016 14.01	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7% (i) 2017 8.97	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75% (d) 2018 7.62
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Average incre Expressive Receptive	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d) ase in 2008 9.0 10.2	594 2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3% (d) develop 2009 9.3 10.4	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d) comenta 2010 10	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i) al area (2011 7.7 8.8	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i) over a 2012 11.6 11.1	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d) 12 mon 2013 7.7 6.9	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i) oth spar 2014 9 7.7	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d) n of time 2015 8.77 8.35	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i) 2016 14.01 9.53	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7% (i) 2017 8.97 7.62	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75% (d) 2018 7.62 7.35
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Average incre Expressive Receptive Gross Motor	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d) ase in 6 2008 9.0 10.2 10.5	2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3% (d) develop 2009 9.3 10.4 10.4	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d) comenta 2010 10 11 11.4	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i) al area (2011) 7.7 8.8 9.4	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i) 2012 11.6 11.1 13.5	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d) 12 mon 2013 7.7 6.9 8.3	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i) oth spar 2014 9 7.7 9.2	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d) n of time 2015 8.77 8.35 9.74	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i) 2016 14.01 9.53 7.6	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7% (i) 2017 8.97 7.62 9.4	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75% (d) 2018 7.62 7.35 8.36
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Average incre Expressive Receptive Gross Motor Fine Motor	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d) ase in 6 2008 9.0 10.2 10.5 9.7	2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3% (d) develor 2009 9.3 10.4 10.4 9.8	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d) 0menta 2010 10 11 11.4 10.9	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i) al area (2011 7.7 8.8 9.4 9.6	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i) over a 2012 11.6 11.1 13.5 14.4	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d) 12 mon 2013 7.7 6.9 8.3 10.9	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i) oth spar 2014 9 7.7 9.2 5.6	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d) n of time 2015 8.77 8.35 9.74 10	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i) 2016 14.01 9.53 7.6 8.87	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7% (i) 2017 8.97 7.62 9.4 12.37	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75% (d) 2018 7.62 7.35 8.36 10.57
/Decrease (d) Referrals Monthly Average Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Percentage Enrolled Increase (i) /Decrease (d) Average incre Expressive Receptive Gross Motor	596 20% (i) 2008 160 10% (i) 35% 2% (d) ase in 6 2008 9.0 10.2 10.5	2009 176 10% (i) 32% 3% (d) develop 2009 9.3 10.4 10.4	577 3% (d) 2010 195 10% (i) 29% 3% (d) comenta 2010 10 11 11.4	450 22% (d) 2011 125 36% (d) 35% 3% (i) al area (2011) 7.7 8.8 9.4	438 3% (i) 2012 119 5% (d) 43% 8% (i) 2012 11.6 11.1 13.5	450 2.7% (i) 2013 149 25% (i) 28% 15% (d) 12 mon 2013 7.7 6.9 8.3	444 1% (d) 2014 150 1% (i) 34% 6% (i) oth spar 2014 9 7.7 9.2	444 NC 2015 180 16.7% (i) 22.6% 11.4% (d) n of time 2015 8.77 8.35 9.74	444 NC 2016 181 1% (i) 24.7% 8.4% (i) 2016 14.01 9.53 7.6	484 8.62% 2017 165 9.25% (d) 27.5% 10.7% (i) 2017 8.97 7.62 9.4	534 10.3% 2018 202.5 22.7% 25.4% 75% (d) 2018 7.62 7.35 8.36

Early Childhood Intervention (ECI) Program - continued

Summary of Planned vs. Delivered data

	200 Plan		20 Deliv		_	09 nned		009 vered		2010 anned	De	2010 elivered	2011 Plann		201 Delive	
	Α	vg/hrs	child/mo		Avg/hrs child/mo					Avg/hrs	child/mo	C	A	vg/hrs	child/mo	
Overall		3.4		1.9	3.2 2.3				3.1 2.2			3.4		2.0	6	
**SST	81%*	1.6	81%	1.6	77%*				88%*	1.7	83%	1.4	86%*	2.0	80%	1.6
OT	19%*	1.9	19%	1.9	23%*	2.1	35%	.72	20%*	1.9	64%	1.2	25%*	1.8	67%	1.2
PT	16%*	1.6	16%	1.6	23%*	2.0	46%	.90	15%*	1.6	64%	1	22%*	1.6	75%	1.2
ST	16%*	1.6	16%	1.6	25%*	2.0	46%	.93	19%*	1.9	54%	1	38%*	1.8	61%	1.1
Nutrition	33%*	.5	33%	.5	17%*	.67	67%	.47	12%*	.6	85%	.5	12%*	.6	83%	.5

^{* %} of Population receiving a particular service **DS changed to SST in 2011 ***Data represents Jan-Nov

)12 nned	_	12 vered	_	3*** nned		3*** vered		14*** anned		014*** livered	201 Planı	-	201 Deliv	-	
		Avg/hrs	child/mc)	Avg/hrs child/mo					Avg/hrs	child/mc)	P	Avg/hrs	child/mo	child/mo	
Overall		3.2		1.98	3.4 2.3				3.2 2.1				4.23		2.35		
SST	70%	1.9	66%	1.3	71%	1.9	69%	1.3	64%	1.6	73%	1	59.7%	2.01	58.2%	1.17	
OT	33%	1.6	72%	1.1	41%	1.4	66%	.95	66%	1.4	73%	.94	48.1%	2.11	53.4%	1.13	
PT	25%	1.5	79%	1.2	28%	1.3	67%	.87	45%	1.7	73%	.78	18.7%	2.13	37.6%	.80	
ST	52%	1.2	74%	.85	56%	1.2	67%	.84	69%	1.4	73%	.98	65.6%	1.81	57.8%	1.05	
Nutrition	14%	.59	90%	.53	20%	.63	89%	.56	90%	.70	87%	.63	19%	.73	83.3%	.61	

	201 Plani	20 Deliv	-	20 Plan)17 vered	20 ² Plan	18 ered				
	Α	vg/hrs	child/mo		A	wg/hrs	child/mo)	Avg/hrs child/mo				
Overall	4.6	hrs	2.7	hrs	4.56	hrs	2.89	hrs	4.19	hrs	2.30	hrs	
SST	54.7%	2.3	33.3%	1.4	63.5%	2.3	41.2%	1.5	71.6%	2.12	56.3%	1.18	
OT	44.8%	2.1	25.6%	1.2	53.8%	1.6	36.6%	1.1	58.3%	1.42	49.5%	0.72	
PT	28.9%	2	15.9	1.1	40.2%	1.7	19.6%	0.8	36.0%	1.36	32.3%	0.43	
ST	66.7%	2	43.4%	1.3	74.8%	1.8	50.1%	1.2	77.7%	1.66	65.6%	1.07	
Nutrition	13.4%	1.3	7.2%	.7	15.6%	0.5	14.1%	0.5	8.8%	0.46	100%	0.46	

Analysis of data

Demographics

Gender: The gender distribution of children served by the ECI program continues to hold steady with no statistically significant shift. This same split is seen in programs in surrounding areas and is not indigenous to our geographic service area.

Ethnicity Mix: In comparison to the Census Bureau information, African- American children are significantly underrepresented in the ECI population. This issue has been identified to exist throughout the entire Texas ECI system, per other reports. Caucasians are underrepresented within the context of the Harris County, Texas population, while Hispanic clients are overrepresented. Further recommendations would be to compare the representation by ethnicity to estimated percentage of developmentally delayed children per ethnicity type to determine if the program demographics are representative of the developmentally delayed population rather than the overall Harris County, Texas population.

Payer Sources: For 2018, there was an increase in the percentage of Medicaid clients. The number of families with no insurance coverage increased across the entire statewide ECI system, and it is now projected that 21% of Texas children are uninsured. As Medicaid expansion does or does not occur, we would expect to see the percentage accordingly fluctuate.

Early Childhood Intervention (ECI) Program - continued

Average Monthly Enrollment: Average monthly enrollment significantly increased, largely driven by the number of children being referred with an active and qualifying medical diagnosis. The increasing severity of the children being referred, partially as a result of them being referred closer to age three has resulted in fewer served, but more enrolled and remaining enrolled until their third birthday.

Unduplicated Count of Clients Served Annually: The significant increase in the number of children served in some aspect by the ECI program was as a direct result of increased physician referrals. There was a higher percentage of children enrolled, an increase in medical diagnosis enrollments, and the number of children who remain enrolled in the program longer due to prognosis issues associated with medical diagnoses.

Referrals: There was a significant increase in the number of referrals, yet a slight decrease in the percentage referrals enrolled. This is due to predominant speech referrals and the children not meeting the required percentage of delay for speech issues.

Service Delivery Data: For 2018, the contractual requirement was 2.87 hours per child served. We experienced a parental cancellation/no-show rate in excess of 30%, despite providers accommodating parental schedules and offering makeup visits. Staffing issues were a contributory factor in the motor therapies and their planned versus delivery rate.

Improvement in Development Areas: The data reflect the increased severity of the children at enrollment in ECI. Many of our clients see an increase in function and still go on to be enrolled in special education services for their school career. Any negative shifts at the individual level are typically as a result of undiagnosed medical diagnoses. We continue to see children who have significant delays across several developmental domains, but are also seeing children make significant improvements by the time of their graduation out of the ECI system into other transition settings.

Opportunity Center Program

Demographics

Age Groups	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
15-18 years 19-26 years 27-45 years 46-59 years 60 + years	4% 38% 30% 25% 3%	5% 41% 36% 18%	13% 30% 29% 24% 4%	2% 34% 47% 16% 1%	4% 45% 38% 13% 0%	3% 24% 40% 21% 12%	10% 57% 23% 8% 2%	10% 38% 40% 9% 2%	1% 55% 36% 4% 4%	1% 55% 36% 4% 4%	1% 31% 62% 5% 1%
Gender Male Female	2008 52% 48%	2009 54% 46%	2010 65% 35%	2011 70% 30%	2012 62% 38%	2013 66% 34%	2014 58% 42%	2015 63% 37%	2016 66% 34%	2017 54% 46%	2018 57% 43%
Ethnicity Mix Caucasians Hispanics African Americans	2008 54% 20% 27%	2009 51% 22% 27%	2010 58% 24% 18%	2011 35% 30% 35%	2012 44% 26% 30%	2013 39% 24% 37%	2014 63% 18% 18%	2015 47% 26% 28%	2016 66% 17% 17%	2017 59% 35% 19%	2018 58% 25% 18%
Payer Sources, by	/ client										
MHMRA ISD Private Pay HHSC (formerly Dads)	2008 6% 23% 4% 10%	2009 8% 25% 7% 13%	2010 10% 26% 3% 14%	2011 11% 21% 3% 25%	2012 7% 32% 9% 20%	2013 20% 18% 11% 17%	2014 8% 17% 8% 24%	2015 11% 15% 7% 26%	2016 14% 22% 7% 30%	2017 0% 22% 11% 31%	2018 0% 25% 11% 32%
TWX Vocational Rehab (formerly DARS) Contracts	24%	22%	23%	20%	22%	21%	36%	26%	14% 13%	10% 26%	6% 26%
Unduplicated cou	nt of cl	lients s	erved a	nnually	(exclu	ding a	quatics	exerci	se)		
·	2008 186	2009 189	2010 190	2011 181	2012 168	2013 181	2014 190	2015 215	2016 226	2017 186	2018 194

The Opportunity Center Program provides vocational training, day habilitation, case management youth transition, HCS/TxHml services, and job placement services to individuals with mental, intellectual, developmental, audio and/or visual impairment, or physical disabilities.

SITE BASED PROGRAMMING

DESCRIPTION - The program and its components provide vocational training and placement services to adults with disabilities, primarily those with mental health concerns, in East Harris County and the surrounding areas. Persons with intellectual, developmental, vision impairment or physical disabilities enroll in programs which enhance work habits, promote social skills, and provide vocational skills needed to ease their (as well as their family's) overall concerns related to mental health matter, enhance their self-esteem, and in many cases to become qualified employees to community employers.

Opportunity Center Program - continued

Services include day habilitation, vocational training, youth transition programs and home community services. Day habilitation participants receive more individualized social, life and employment skills training, which assist and enhance their ability to interact within the community. Day activities are focused on teaching basic life skills such as self-care, independent living, communication and appropriate leisure time. Vocational training address participants' immediate and future employment and education needs. Participants learn employment skills which are practiced on and off-site and transferrable into employment.

DISCUSSION - Measurement was based on the following outcome rating. Staff administered an assessment to 78 of the 194 participants after 30 days of enrollment. Participants are observed for the first 30 days to measure progress in educational and vocational capacities. Results indicated that 40% of 78 participants were able to identify and complete and educational and/or vocational skills.

CAREER DEVELOPMENT TEAM (CDT)

DESCRIPTION - The Career Development Program (CDP) works closely with Texas Workforce Solutions Vocational Rehabilitation Services to cater to participants who wish to gain employment in the community. This program offers training and support to the participants who are seeking to gain employment and employable skills. Services include: Vocational Adjustment Training.

DISCUSSION- Measurement was based on the following outcome rating: Of the 12 total unduplicated consumers served, 2 were eligible for case closure. Staff conducts an assessment to measure progress to determine vocational capacities. Vocational training was reported by 8%, 12 participants.

YOUTH TRANSITION TO ADULT PROGRAM (YTAP)

DESCRIPTION - YTAP works with local school districts in helping participant to transition from the school to the post school and work environment. Vocational training services classroom instruction, training and supports are provided to eliminate and/or accommodate barriers to employment, which may limit an individual's ability to perform meaningful paid or competitive employment.

DISCUSSION - Measurement was based on the following outcome rating. Through pre/post assessment students gained knowledge of job readiness skills, and money management. Results indicated that 25%, of 48 students assessed were able to identify the competencies of the assessment.

HCS/TxHmL PROGRAM

DESCRIPTION- Home Community Services (HCS) and Texas Home Living (TxHmL) are State programs designed specifically for the individual. Flexible and individualized options may include hands on support in all aspects of daily living and personal care, support to participate in community integrative opportunities, respite services to help family members in the daily care of the participant, and networking and support coordination with other disabilities partners. The program provides qualified participants an alternative to Intermediate Care Facilities/Mental Retardation facilities.

DISCUSSION- The programs combined currently serve 62 clients and employs 2 full-time staff and 1 part-time staff.

Select Organizational Information

2018 Financial Information (unaudited)

Revenues

Income generated from Operational Sources (net of Insurance Contractual Adjustment Reserves)		\$6,522,268
Contributions and Bequests		<u>390,334</u>
	Total Revenues	\$6,912,602
Expenses By Department		
ECI Program Pediatric Therapy Adult Therapy Aquatic Program Opportunity Program General & Admin Fund Development		\$2,880,849 489,560 430,246 151,431 1,781,013 731,606 <u>51,834</u>
	Total Expenses	\$6,516,539
	Net Surplus/(Loss)	<u>\$396,063</u>

End of Year Net Asset Balance

2018 Board of Directors

Eric Harding, Chairman

Lynne Foley, Vice Chair

Gary S Englert, Secretary

Tom Kelchner, Ed D., Treasurer

Blake Cather, Director

Charles Hurst, Director

David R Smith, Director

Sam Springer, Director

Liz Stavens, Director

John McNally, Director

Douglas Walker, Director

Mark A Alexander, Executive Director, Ex Officio Board Member

\$3,518,355

5313 Decker Drive, Baytown, Texas 77520

(281) 838-4477 * Fax (281) 838-4481

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT Receipt of Annual Program Evaluation Report

Ç	cted and information is used to manage and improve service del	livery
		_
	, I received the 2018 Program Evaluation Report.	1
understand that it is my resp	bility to review the information outlined within it.	
Employee Cioneture		
Employee Signature	Date	
Employee Printed Name		

0204-01 03/08/2014 ADM © 2014 BARC